Memorandum

Date: August 13, 2020

To: Mr. Ed Shikada, City of Palo Alto
CC: Ms. Millette Litzinger, AECOM

From: Gary Black, Trisha Dudala

Subject: Churchill, Meadow and Charleston Grade Separation Traffic Analysis

Introduction

The Caltrain Electrification project will increase the frequency of trains through Palo Alto. The gate downtime at at-grade crossings is expected to be as high as 45 seconds per 3 minutes. The three at-grade crossings included in the Connecting Palo Alto study are located on Churchill Avenue, Meadow Drive, and Charleston Road. This report summarizes the findings of the traffic operations analysis that was conducted for alternatives that would provide grade separation at the three at-grade crossings. These alternatives were selected for further evaluation by the City and the XCAP.

This study analyzes traffic operations during the weekday AM (7-9) and PM (4-6) peak commute hours under existing and future (Year 2030) conditions. The analysis was conducted using the simulation software VISSIM by PTV Vision, and Synchro/SimTraffic by Trafficware. Traffic conditions at the study intersections were evaluated using level of service (LOS). Level of service is a qualitative description of operating conditions ranging from LOS A, or free-flow conditions with little or no delay, to LOS F, or jammed conditions with excessive delays. The acceptable LOS in the City of Palo Alto is LOS D or better for signalized and unsignalized intersections.

Bicycle and Pedestrian Circulation

The traffic study focuses on vehicular traffic operations at Churchill Avenue, Meadow Drive, and Charleston Road for the alternatives. However, bicycle and pedestrian circulation has been accounted for in the traffic analysis. All alternatives have been designed to be consistent with the City’s safe routes to schools plan. The design drawings show all planned sidewalks and bicycle lanes/paths.

Churchill Avenue Alternatives

Existing AM and PM peak hour traffic counts and future volumes for the Alma Street/Churchill Avenue intersection were obtained from the 2018 counts and 2030 forecasts presented in the Draft Churchill Closure report by TJKM. A comparison of AM and PM peak hour delays at the Alma and Churchill Avenue for the three alternatives with existing traffic volumes are shown in Table 1 and with Year 2030 volumes are shown in Table 2. As shown in Table 1, the intersection of Alma and Churchill currently operates at LOS F during the AM peak hour and LOS E during the PM peak hour. With future traffic volumes (see Table 2) the intersection would operate at LOS F during both the AM and PM peak hours and with electrification, the intersection would continue to operate at...
unacceptable LOS F during both the AM and PM peak hours. The electrification would increase the
number of trains and the downtime at the at-grade crossing which would cause the intersection
delay to increase.

Churchill Closure

This analysis scenario evaluated the impacts of the closure of Churchill Avenue across the railroad
tracks. With the closure of Churchill Avenue west of the railroad tracks, Churchill Avenue would no
longer provide an east-west connection for vehicles across Alma Street. Figures 1A and 1B show
the conceptual intersection layout and rendering of the intersection, developed by AECOM. As
shown in Table 1 and Table 2, the intersection of Alma and Churchill Avenue would operate at an
acceptable LOS C during both the AM and PM peak hours with existing and future traffic volumes
with the Churchill closure. However, this alternative would cause the existing traffic using the
Churchill railroad crossing to reroute to other crossings, creating traffic impacts on Embarcadero
Road and on Oregon Expressway/Page Mill Road. These impacts and mitigation measures were
the subject of a separate traffic study prepared by Hexagon and included in Appendix A.

Churchill Viaduct

Under this alternative, an elevated structure (viaduct) would carry the railroad tracks over Churchill
Avenue, and Churchill would continue to provide an east-west connection for pedestrians, bicycles
and vehicles. Figure 2 illustrates the conceptual rendering of the intersection, developed by
AECOM. As shown in Table 1, the intersection of Alma and Churchill Avenue would operate at LOS
D during both the AM and PM peak hours with existing traffic volumes. The improvement in LOS
would be due to the train interruption being eliminated. With future traffic volumes (see Table 2), the
intersection would operate at LOS D during the AM peak hour and LOS E during the PM peak hour.

The reduction in delay due to the elimination of gate down time could lead to an increase in traffic
volume on Churchill Avenue. Of particular concern is the residential portion of Churchill Avenue,
which is east of Alma Street. Hexagon calculated the additional intersection capacity that would
result from the elimination of gate down time. The increase in capacity could result in about 100
additional vehicles per day using Churchill Avenue east of Alma Street. This represents a 5%
increase in traffic. It should be noted that the additional capacity would primarily occur during the
peak AM and PM commute hours. During the off-peak hours, there is much less gate down time
because of many fewer trains.

Churchill Partial Underpass

This alternative proposes to separate Caltrain from Churchill Avenue but preserve access to Alma
street by keeping Churchill Avenue partially open via a modified underpass. It requires lowering
both Churchill and Alma to allow the western portion of Churchill to pass underneath the Caltrain
tracks, while keeping the eastern portion of Churchill at grade. The most significant traffic-flow
change is that no through traffic would be possible on Churchill Avenue across Alma Street. This
alternative also would separate the bicycle and pedestrian traffic crossing Alma Street from
vehicular traffic by providing a bridge over Churchill Avenue that connects to the bike trail next to
Palo Alto High School. Figures 3A and 3B illustrates the conceptual intersection layout and
renderings of the intersection, developed by AECOM.

Because through traffic and some turning movements at Churchill would not be possible, some
traffic would reroute to other streets. The following traffic movements would need to reroute (see
Figures 4A, 4B, 4C and 4D):
• Eastbound through traffic on Churchill – 90% of the traffic is expected to reroute to turn left on Alma and travel north to use Embarcadero Road or one of the neighborhood cross streets. 10% of the traffic is expected to turn right at Alma and use one of the neighborhood cross streets.
• Westbound through traffic on Churchill Avenue – All traffic is expected to make a right turn on Alma and travel north to use Embarcadero Road.
• Westbound left-turn traffic on Churchill Avenue – All traffic is expected to use another of the neighborhood streets to access Alma.
• Southbound left-turn traffic on Alma Street – All traffic is expected to turn left into one of the other neighborhood streets.

As shown in Table 1 and Table 2, the signalized intersection of Alma Street and Churchill Avenue would operate at acceptable LOS C or better during both the AM and PM peak hour periods with the existing and future traffic volumes.
### Table 1
Alma and Churchill Grade Separation Alternatives – Existing Traffic Volumes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traffic Operations (Existing Traffic Volumes)</th>
<th>No Improvements (No Electrification) 1</th>
<th>Churchill Closure 2</th>
<th>Viaduct 3</th>
<th>Partial Underpass 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AM</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay (secs) LOS</td>
<td>Delay (secs) LOS</td>
<td>Delay (secs) LOS</td>
<td>Delay (secs) LOS</td>
<td>Delay (secs) LOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alma Street &amp; Churchill Avenue</td>
<td>88.9 F</td>
<td>23.58 C</td>
<td>45.39 D</td>
<td>15.62 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>66.67 E</td>
<td>28.23 C</td>
<td>42.73 D</td>
<td>21.66 C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
1. All turning movements permitted. Analysis assumes 8 trains per hour under existing conditions. Traffic analysis was conducted using PTV Vissim software.
2. The following turning movements would not be possible: left-turn, right-turn and through traffic from eastbound Churchill, through traffic from westbound Churchill, northbound left-turns and southbound right-turn from Alma. Traffic analysis was conducted using PTV Vissim software.
3. All turning movements permitted. Traffic analysis was conducted using PTV Vissim software.
4. The following turning movements would not be possible: eastbound and westbound through traffic on Churchill Avenue across Alma Street, left-turn from westbound Churchill, and left-turn from southbound Alma. Traffic analysis was conducted using SimTraffic.

### Table 2
Alma and Churchill Grade Separation Alternatives – Future Traffic Volumes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traffic Operations (Year 2030 Traffic Volumes)</th>
<th>No Improvements (No Electrification) 1</th>
<th>No Improvements (With Electrification) 2</th>
<th>Churchill Closure 3</th>
<th>Viaduct 4</th>
<th>Partial Underpass 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AM</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay (secs) LOS</td>
<td>Delay (secs) LOS</td>
<td>Delay (secs) LOS</td>
<td>Delay (secs) LOS</td>
<td>Delay (secs) LOS</td>
<td>Delay (secs) LOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alma Street &amp; Churchill Avenue</td>
<td>118.5 F</td>
<td>173.5 F</td>
<td>25.1 C</td>
<td>48.4 D</td>
<td>15.65 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90 F</td>
<td>178.5 F</td>
<td>30.6 C</td>
<td>56.77 E</td>
<td>30.97 C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
1. All turning movements permitted. Analysis assumes 8 trains per hour with no electrification. Traffic analysis was conducted using PTV Vissim software.
2. All turning movements permitted. Analysis assumes 14 trains per hour with electrification. Traffic analysis was conducted using PTV Vissim software.
3. The following turning movements would not be possible: left-turn, right-turn and through traffic from eastbound Churchill, through traffic from westbound Churchill, northbound left-turns and southbound right-turn from Alma. Traffic analysis was conducted using PTV Vissim software.
4. All turning movements permitted. Traffic analysis was conducted using PTV Vissim software.
5. The following turning movements would not be possible: eastbound and westbound through traffic on Churchill Avenue across Alma Street, left-turn from westbound Churchill, and left-turn from southbound Alma. Traffic analysis was conducted using SimTraffic.
Preliminary Layout shown based on early concepts
(subject to change)
Preliminary Layout shown based on early concepts
(subject to change)
Preliminary Layout shown based on early concepts (subject to change)
Preliminary Layout shown based on early concepts
(subject to change)

Figure 3A
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**Figure 3B**
Churchill Partial Underpass Rendering
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Churchill Ave/Alma St AM and PM Peak-Hour Diversions (Partial Underpass Alternative)
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Churchill Ave/Alma St AM and PM Peak-Hour Diversions (Partial Underpass Alternative)
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Churchill Ave/Alma St AM and PM Peak-Hour Diversions (Partial Underpass Alternative)
Meadow Drive and Charleston Road Alternatives

Existing AM and PM peak hour traffic counts for the Alma Street/Meadow Drive and Alma Street/Charleston Road were conducted in October 2019 (see Appendix B). Future traffic volumes for these two study intersections were obtained from the Palo Alto Comprehensive Plan Update prepared by Hexagon in January 2016. For the at-grade crossings at Meadow Drive and Charleston Road, two alternatives were evaluated as described below. A comparison of AM and PM peak hour delays at the Alma/Meadow and Alma/Charleston for the two alternatives with existing traffic volumes are shown in Table 3 and with future traffic volumes are shown in Table 4. As shown in Table 3, the Alma/Meadow intersection currently operates at LOS F during the AM peak hour and LOS E during the PM peak hour. The intersection of Alma/Charleston operates at LOS F during both the AM and PM peak hours. With future traffic volumes (see Table 4), the analysis shows that both the Meadow and Charleston intersections would operate at LOS F during the AM and PM peak hours. With electrification, the analysis shows that both intersections would continue to operate at unacceptable LOS F during the AM and PM peak hours with future traffic volumes.

Meadow and Charleston Viaduct

Under this alternative, an elevated structure (viaduct) would carry the railroad over both Meadow Drive and Charleston Road. Meadow and Charleston would continue to provide east-west connections for pedestrians, bicycles and vehicles. Figure 5 illustrates the conceptual rendering of the Meadow Drive viaduct and Figure 6 illustrates the conceptual rendering of the Charleston Road viaduct.

Alma Street and Meadow Drive Intersection

As shown in Tables 3 and 4, the intersection of Alma and Meadow would operate at LOS D during both the AM and PM peak hours with existing traffic volumes. With future traffic volumes, this intersection would operate at LOS E during the AM peak hour and LOS F during the PM peak hour with the viaduct.

Alma Street and Charleston Road Intersection

The analysis shows that the intersection of Alma and Charleston would operate at LOS E during the AM peak hour and LOS D during the PM peak hour with existing traffic volumes (see Table 3). With future traffic volumes, this intersection would operate at LOS F during both the AM and PM peak hours with the viaduct (see Table 4).

There are no feasible improvements that would mitigate the traffic operations under future traffic volumes to acceptable levels.

Meadow and Charleston Trench

Under this alternative, the railroad tracks would be fully lowered in a trench, and the roadways would remain at grade. Meadow and Charleston would continue to provide east-west connections for pedestrians, bicycles, and vehicles. The traffic impacts for this alternative would be similar to the viaduct alternative.
### Table 3

Meadow and Charleston Grade Separation Alternatives – Existing Traffic Volumes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traffic Operations (Existing Traffic Volumes)</th>
<th>No Improvements (No Electrification)</th>
<th>Viaduct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Traffic Control</td>
<td>Delay (secs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meadow Drive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alma Street &amp; Meadow Drive</td>
<td>Signal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Charleston Road</td>
<td>Signal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

1. All turning movements permitted. Analysis assumes 8 trains per hour under existing conditions. Traffic analysis was conducted using SimTraffic.
2. All turning movements permitted. Traffic analysis was conducted using SimTraffic.
### Table 4
**Meadow and Charleston Grade Separation Alternatives – Future Traffic Volumes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traffic Control</th>
<th>No Improvements (No Electrification) ¹</th>
<th>No Improvements (With Electrification) ²</th>
<th>Viaduct ³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AM Delay (secs)</td>
<td>AM Delay (secs)</td>
<td>AM Delay (secs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Meadow Drive</strong></td>
<td>Alma Street &amp; Meadow Drive</td>
<td>Signal</td>
<td>215.03 F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Charleston Road</strong></td>
<td>Alma Street &amp; Charleston Road</td>
<td>Signal</td>
<td>330.72 F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

1. All turning movements permitted. Analysis assumes 8 trains per hour with no electrification. Traffic analysis was conducted using SimTraffic.
2. All turning movements permitted. Analysis assumes 14 trains per hour with electrification. Traffic analysis was conducted using SimTraffic.
3. All turning movements permitted. Traffic analysis was conducted using SimTraffic.
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Meadow and Charleston Hybrid

Under this alternative, the railroad track would be slightly raised, and the roadway would be slightly lowered. Meadow and Charleston would continue to provide east-west connections for pedestrians, bicycles, and vehicles. The traffic impacts for this alternative would be similar to the viaduct alternative.

South Palo Alto Tunnel – Passenger and Freight

Under this alternative, both the passenger trains and the freight trains would be accommodated within an underground tunnel. The traffic impacts for this alternative would be similar to the viaduct alternative.

South Palo Alto Tunnel – At-Grade Freight

Under this alternative, the passenger trains would use an underground tunnel and the freight trains would continue to operate at grade. Also, Alma Street would be reduced to one lane in each direction between approximately El Dorado-Loma Verde and Charleston-Greenmeadow Way. As a result, the traffic impacts under this alternative would be worse than the viaduct, trench, hybrid and tunnel (with passenger and freight) alternatives.

Meadow and Charleston Partial Underpass – With U-Turn at Alma Village Circle

This alternative proposes to keep the Caltrain tracks at grade and lower Meadow Drive and Charleston Road to go under the tracks and under Alma Road. Figures 7A and 7B illustrates the conceptual intersection layout and rendering of the Meadow Drive partial underpass and Figures 8A and 8B illustrates the conceptual intersection layout and rendering of the Charleston Road partial underpass. This alternative was analyzed only for future conditions (see Table 5).

Alma Street and Alma Village Circle

A U-turn lane would be constructed on northbound Alma at the existing signalized intersection of Alma Street and Alma Village Circle. Alma Village Circle is located approximately 600 feet to the north of Meadow Drive. The U-turn lane would allow northbound traffic on Alma Street to access Meadow Drive by making a U-turn at the Alma Village Circle and using the proposed southbound Alma Street off-ramp to Meadow Drive. Due to the close spacing between the proposed Alma Street on-ramp from Meadow Drive and Alma Village Circle, traffic from westbound Meadow would not be able to access the U-turn lane to go southbound on Alma.

Alma Street and Meadow Drive Intersection

For the most part, this alternative has Meadow Drive passing under Alma Street, with a couple of connections. Southbound left-turns and right-turns from Alma to Meadow Drive will be accommodated by an off-ramp from Alma Street to Meadow Drive with a traffic signal. Also, westbound right-turns from Meadow Drive to northbound Alma Street would be accommodate by a ramp. A U-turn lane would be constructed on northbound Alma at the existing signalized intersection of Alma Street and Alma Village Circle to facilitate turning movements from northbound Alma to Meadow Drive. Alma Village Circle is located approximately 600 feet to the north of Meadow Drive. The U-turn lane would allow northbound traffic on Alma Street to access Meadow Drive by making a U-turn at the Alma Village Circle and using the proposed southbound Alma Street off-ramp to Meadow Drive. Due to the close spacing between the proposed Alma Street on-ramp from Meadow Drive and Alma Village Circle, traffic from westbound Meadow would not be able to access the U-turn lane to go southbound on Alma. Westbound left-turns and eastbound
right-turns from Meadow to southbound Alma would reroute to other locations (see Figures 9A and 9B).

Three options as described below were analyzed for the northbound and southbound ramp intersections at Meadow Drive (see Table 5).

- Option 1 – Traffic signal at the Alma southbound off-ramp and no control at the Alma northbound off-ramp. Left-turning traffic from eastbound Meadow would have to find gaps in the uncontrolled traffic flow on westbound Meadow.
- Option 2 – Traffic signal at the Alma southbound off-ramp and an all-way stop control at the Alma northbound on-ramp.
- Option 3 – Traffic signals at both the southbound off-ramp and northbound on-ramp.

**Alma Street and Charleston Road Intersection**

At the Alma/Charleston intersection, some turning movements would be cut off at the intersection itself but would be accommodated via a two-lane roundabout that would be provided on Charleston Road at Mumford Place, east of Alma Street (see Figures 10A, 10B and 10C). Two ramps and two traffic signals would be provided to connect Charleston Road to Alma Street. The signal to the north would facilitate turning movements from westbound Charleston to northbound and southbound Alma Street. The signal to the south would facilitate southbound left-turns and northbound right turns from Alma Street to eastbound Charleston Road. The design also includes a ramp connection from eastbound Charleston to southbound Alma Street.

As shown in Table 5, the analysis shows that the intersection of Alma Street and Alma Village Circle would operate at acceptable LOS B during both the AM and PM peak hours with future traffic volumes.

At the Alma/Meadow intersection, the analysis shows that both the ramps from southbound Alma to Meadow and from Meadow to northbound Alma would operate at acceptable LOS B or better during the AM and PM peak hours with future traffic volumes. Where the northbound on-ramp would merge onto Alma Street, the analysis shows that the on-ramp approach would operate at LOS E during the AM peak hour, as traffic merging onto Alma Street would have to find gaps in the uncontrolled traffic flow on northbound Alma, which is the peak direction.

The analysis shows that the two signalized intersections at Alma/Charleston would operate at LOS C or better during both the AM and PM peak hours under future conditions. Where the on-ramp from eastbound Charleston would merge onto southbound Alma Street, the analysis shows that the on-ramp approach would operate at LOS E during the PM peak hour under future traffic conditions, as traffic merging onto Alma Street would have to find gaps in the uncontrolled traffic flow on southbound Alma, which is the peak direction. The analysis shows that the two-lane roundabout at Charleston/Mumford would operate at acceptable levels of service during both the AM and PM peak hours under existing and future conditions.
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# Table 5

**Meadow and Charleston Partial Underpass with U-Turn at Alma Village Circle – Future Traffic Volumes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traffic Operations (Year 2030 Traffic Volumes) - Partial Underpass</th>
<th>Option 1</th>
<th>Option 2</th>
<th>Option 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Traffic Control</strong></td>
<td><strong>Delay (secs)</strong></td>
<td><strong>LOS</strong></td>
<td><strong>Delay (secs)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alma Village Circle</strong></td>
<td>18.84 B</td>
<td>19.37 B</td>
<td>18.38 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Meadow Drive</strong></td>
<td>10.92 B</td>
<td>11.94 B</td>
<td>12.11 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alma Street SB Off-Ramp &amp; Meadow Drive</td>
<td>5.10 A</td>
<td>5.10 A</td>
<td>AWSC 7.90 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meadow to NB Alma (On-Ramp)</td>
<td>Yield 35.80 E</td>
<td>27.00 D</td>
<td>Yield 35.10 E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Charleston Road</strong></td>
<td>13.61 B</td>
<td>25.97 C</td>
<td>18.23 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB Charleston to SB Alma (On-Ramp)</td>
<td>Yield 8.60 A</td>
<td>38.90 E</td>
<td>Yield 9.80 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mumford Place &amp; Charleston Road</td>
<td>Roundabout 6.08 A</td>
<td>9.71 A</td>
<td>Roundabout 6.15 A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

- **AWS - All Way Stop Controlled**

1. Option 1 - At the Medow Dr and Alma NB-On Ramp intersection, analysis assumes through traffic on Medow does not stop. Left-turns from Meadow to Alma northbound would yield to westbound traffic on Meadow. The delay for the eastbound left-turns is shown in the table.
2. Option 2 - At the Meadow Dr and Alma NB-On Ramp intersection, analysis assumes an all-way stop control.
3. Option 3 - At the Meadow Dr and Alma NB-On Ramp intersection, analysis assumes a traffic signal.
4. The following turning movements are restricted at Alma/Meadow and Alma/Charleston due to the partial underpass.
   - Alma/Meadows - right-turn from eastbound Meadow, left-turn from westbound Meadow, left-turn and right-turn from northbound Alma.
   - Alma/Charleston - left-turn from eastbound Charleston, left-turn from northbound Alma, right-turn from southbound Alma.
5. The analysis assumes a northbound U-turn lane at Alma/Alma Village Circle signal to allow northbound traffic on Alma Street to make a U-turn and use the southbound off-ramp to Meadow Drive.
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Appendix A
Churchill Closure Traffic Study
Memorandum

Date: November 26, 2019
To: City of Palo Alto
CC: Ms. Millette Litzinger, AECOM
From: Gary Black, Trisha Dudala
Subject: Traffic Analysis of Potential Closure of Churchill Avenue at Alma Street

Summary

The Caltrain Electrification project will increase the frequency of trains through Palo Alto. As a result, the City of Palo Alto is considering closing the Churchill Avenue railroad crossing as part of the Connecting Palo Alto grade separation study. This report describes the results of the traffic impact analysis for the “Do Nothing” alternative and closure of Churchill Avenue at the railroad crossing. The study looked at traffic impacts during the weekday AM (7-9) and PM (4-6) peak commute hours. It is during these hours that the roadways generally experience the most traffic congestion. The analysis was conducted using the simulation software VISSIM by PTV Vision, which has the ability to analyze signal pre-emption.

The analysis of the “Do Nothing” alternative for the at-grade rail crossing at the Alma Street and Churchill Avenue intersection showed that the delays incurred by certain turning movements would be significantly high resulting in longer vehicular queues during the AM peak hour and PM peak hours under existing and Year 2030 conditions with the increase in frequency of trains attributed to the proposed Caltrain electrification.

The analysis of the potential Churchill Avenue closure at the railroad tracks showed that the diverted vehicular traffic volumes from Churchill Avenue would cause significant impacts to six intersections in the study area. Mitigations were identified for all six intersections, and with the implementation of these mitigation measures, the analysis showed that traffic impacts from the potential Churchill closure would be adequately mitigated during both the AM and PM peak hours under existing and Year 2030 traffic conditions.

A report was prepared by TJKM (Draft Traffic Impact Study Report, Churchill Avenue Closure, August 7, 2019) that analyzed the closure of Churchill Avenue (included in the appendix). The study determined that several intersections in the study area would have significant traffic operational impacts. The analysis discussed in this report uses much of the data from the TJKM report. However, this report identifies alternative mitigation for the potential impacts at the Embarcadero & Alma interchange.
Alma Street and Churchill Avenue Intersection – Traffic Analysis

Existing Conditions Analysis

The existing conditions analysis was conducted based on existing peak hour traffic volumes, existing lane geometries, existing signal timings, and the number of trains during the peak hours as described below.

Existing Lane Geometry and Traffic Volumes

Separate left turn lanes are provided on Alma Street in both the northbound and southbound directions to Churchill Avenue (see Figure 1). In addition, there is a southbound right turn lane on Alma Street to westbound Churchill Avenue (toward the high school). Eastbound Churchill Avenue has a separate right turn lane and a shared through/left turn lane at Alma Street. Westbound Churchill Avenue at Alma Street has one all-movement lane and another lane that allows on-street parking. Parking is prohibited from 7-8 AM, and through traffic is prohibited 7:45 to 8:30 AM Monday through Friday. Therefore, during the peak school time in the morning, westbound Churchill Avenue essentially has one left turn lane and one right turn lane. Churchill Avenue operates with split phase signal timing.

AM and PM peak hour turning movement counts for vehicles, pedestrians, and bicycles were conducted at the Alma/Churchill intersection in December 2018 when schools were in session. These counts are shown on Figure 1. As shown on Figure 1, a total of 2,592 vehicles and approximately 400 bicycles and pedestrians were counted during the AM peak hour and a total of 3,312 vehicles and approximately 80 bicycles and pedestrians were counted during the PM peak hour.

These counts were verified with more recent counts conducted on October 1st, 2019 (see Table 1). As shown in Table 1, the December 2018 counts were found to be 5% and 10% higher during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively. Therefore, these counts were used for the analysis of the Churchill closure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Alma Street and Churchill Avenue – Existing Volume Comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AM Peak Hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thursday 12/6/18 Counts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,592</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Alma Street and Churchill Avenue Closure
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Figure 1

Alma Street and Churchill Avenue Existing Lane Geometry and Traffic Volumes
**Signal Timings**

The existing signal timing data at the Alma and Churchill intersection were obtained from the City of Palo Alto. Additional information regarding turn restrictions during certain time periods was obtained from field observations. The Alma and Churchill intersection currently operates at 150-second (2 ½ minutes) and 180-second (3 minutes) cycle lengths during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively. Also, through traffic on westbound Churchill is prohibited (via signage installed at the intersection) during the morning school peak hour that occurs between 7:45 – 8:30 AM.

**Signal Pre-emption and Number of Trains**

As the Churchill Avenue railroad crossing is located only 25 feet to the west of Alma Street, the intersection of Alma Street and Churchill Avenue is equipped to receive a traffic preemption signal when there is a train detection. This is a special control mode in the traffic signal controller designed to start up and clear any vehicular traffic on the roadway approach crossing the railroad tracks. Before the train approaches the intersection, eastbound vehicular queues on Churchill Avenue between the railroad gate and Alma street are cleared. Only through traffic on Alma street, which does not conflict with the railroad movement, receives a green light for the duration of the train movement. A gate closure time of 45 seconds was assumed based on field observations. This calculates to an effective gate closure time of 360 seconds (6 minutes) during the peak hours, which is 10% of the peak hours.

Based on the number of gate closures observed during the field visit, the existing conditions analysis assumed a total of 8 trains (4 northbound and 4 southbound) during each of the AM and PM peak hours. Based on the current Caltrain schedule, there can be up to 10 trains in the peak hour. Because the actual train spacing varies daily, the analysis assumed a constant time interval between consecutive trains, which calculates to one train every 7 ½ minutes. This represents average conditions. Occasionally trains arrive closer together, which creates longer delays, or more spread out, which creates shorter delays.

**Field Observations**

**AM Peak Hour**

During the AM peak, long vehicular queues were observed for the northbound left-turn movement on Alma Street and also on westbound Churchill Avenue. Vehicles in the northbound left-turn lane frequently extended out of the left-turn pocket, into the adjacent through lane, because of signal preemption and because of the school traffic. Palo Alto High School is located on the northwest quadrant of Alma Street and Churchill Avenue, and during the school peak hour, which starts around 8 AM, it was observed that vehicular queues from Palo Alto High School frequently extended up to Alma Street. As a result, during some cycles, the northbound left-turning vehicles could not turn on green. After pre-emption, vehicles in the north-bound left-turn lane have to wait for approximately two minutes before receiving the green signal. As a result, queues for the northbound left-turn movement frequently extended past Tennyson Avenue and did not clear in one signal cycle. Vehicular queues on westbound Churchill frequently extended past Emerson Street. No turn lanes are provided on westbound Churchill Avenue. Although through traffic is restricted during the AM school peak hour, the right turning traffic has to yield to a high number of bicycles and pedestrians crossing the north leg of this intersection, resulting in long vehicular queues.
PM Peak Hour

During the PM peak hour, long vehicular queues were observed on eastbound Churchill Avenue. Queues frequently extended past Madrono Avenue due to signal preemption. Vehicular queues on eastbound Churchill Avenue could not clear in one signal cycle.

Caltrain Electrification Under “Do Nothing” Alternative

This analysis scenario describes the impact of the proposed electrification on existing traffic conditions at Alma Street and Churchill Avenue. As Caltrain begins to modernize, it is expected that the number of trains will increase from 8 trains to 12 trains during both the AM and PM peak hours (based on the Caltrain Electrification EIR). This calculates to one train every five minutes. With a gate closure time of 45 seconds for every train crossing, a total gate closure time of 540 seconds (9 minutes) during peak hours is expected with electrification, which is 15% of the peak hour time. Table 2 below summarizes AM and PM peak hour intersection delays and levels of service at the Alma Street and Churchill Avenue intersection under existing conditions and with the proposed electrification with Churchill open.

Table 2
Alma and Churchill Intersection Delay and Levels of Service – Existing Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Existing</th>
<th>Electrification</th>
<th>% Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay (Secs)</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>127.86</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay (Secs)</td>
<td>66.67</td>
<td>92.44</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes -
Existing Conditions - 8 trains in AM peak hour and 8 trains during the PM peak hour
Caltrain Electrification - 12 trains during the AM and PM peak hours.

As shown in Table 2, the analysis shows that under existing conditions the intersection of Alma Street and Churchill Avenue currently operates at an unacceptable LOS F during the AM peak hour and LOS E during the PM peak hour. With the proposed Caltrain electrification, the analysis shows that the delay would increase by 44% during the AM peak hour and by 39% during the PM peak hour. The intersection would operate at LOS F during both the AM and PM peak hours with the proposed electrification.

Table 3 summarizes AM and PM peak hour intersection delays and levels of service at the Alma and Churchill intersection under Year 2030 traffic conditions without and with the electrification. Year 2030 traffic volumes were obtained from the Palo Alto Travel Demand Forecasting Model.
As shown in Table 3, under Year 2030 traffic conditions, the intersection of Alma and Churchill Avenue would continue to operate at unacceptable LOS F during both the AM and PM peak hours without the electrification. The analysis shows that the delays would be 25% to 30% higher than existing conditions. With the proposed electrification, the delays are expected to increase by an additional 20% to 30%.

Figure 2 and Figure 3 show a comparison of vehicular queues under existing conditions and with the proposed electrification (with existing traffic volumes) during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively.

As shown on Figure 2, the analysis shows that during the AM peak hour, the northbound left-turn movement would be significantly impacted with the increase in the frequency of trains with the proposed electrification. The analysis shows that the average queue would increase by approximately 25 vehicles and queues would frequently extend past Rinconada Avenue. It would take approximately four to five signal cycles (10 to 12 minutes) for the northbound left-turn to clear.

As shown on Figure 3, during the PM peak hour, the analysis shows that the increase in the frequency of trains would cause the vehicular queue on eastbound Churchill Avenue to extend beyond El Camino Real and potentially affect traffic operations at the El Camino Real and Churchill Avenue intersection. As a result, the analysis shows that it would take 3 to 5 signal cycles for traffic to clear on eastbound Churchill Avenue.

### Table 3

**Alma and Churchill Intersection Delay and Levels of Service – Year 2030 conditions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AM</th>
<th>PM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>No Electrification</strong></td>
<td><strong>Electrification</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay (Secs)</td>
<td>LOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Intersection Delay</td>
<td>118.5 F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes**

- No Electrification Conditions - 8 trains in AM peak hour and 8 trains during the PM peak hour
- Caltrain Electrification - 12 trains during the AM and PM peak hours.
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Alma Street and Churchill Avenue Vehicular Queues - Existing and “Do Nothing” Alternative (AM Peak-Hour)
Figure 3

Alma Street and Churchill Avenue Vehicular Queues - Existing and “Do Nothing” Alternative (PM Peak-Hour)
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Complete Churchill Closure

This analysis scenario describes the impacts of the closure of Churchill Avenue near the railroad tracks and the impact on the surrounding roadway system. With the closure of Churchill Avenue, the intersection geometry of Alma Street/Churchill Avenue is proposed to undergo the following changes: the northbound left-turn lane is proposed to be removed, the southbound left-turn lane and southbound right-turn lane are also proposed to be removed, and the left most through lane is to be converted to a shared left-through lane. Pedestrian and bicycle connections would be maintained with an undercrossing. A pedestrian/bicycle overcrossing would be undesirable because the bottom of the overcrossing would need to be 24’6” above the track, resulting in approaches that would be extensively long. Figures 4, 5, and 6 illustrate the conceptual intersection layout and renderings of the intersection, all developed by AECOM.

Origin-Destination Analysis

In order to evaluate existing trip patterns that currently use Churchill Avenue, an origin-destination (O-D) analysis was conducted within the study area by TJKM. The objective of this task was to determine how traffic would be rerouted with Churchill closed. Data for a typical Tuesday, Wednesday, and Thursday for the morning and afternoon hours during 2017 while schools in Palo Alto were in session (using the Street Light Data platform) was used for evaluating trip patterns through the Alma Street and Churchill Avenue intersection. StreetLight data represent movements tracking cell phones. Cell phone companies supply anonymized data about the origins, destinations, and routes of people using cell phones. Any time a geo-based app on the phone is enabled, the movement of that phone is tracked. While not all people have cell phones or have apps running, the data are aggregated from thousands of users over time and provide a good representation of travel patterns.

Redistribution of Trips

As a result of the proposed Churchill closure, existing trips that are currently using the Churchill railroad crossing would use alternative roadways in the study area. Based on existing traffic counts, there are approximately 706 vehicles in the AM peak hour and 776 vehicles in the PM peak hour that would be rerouted. These trips were rerouted to alternative roadways based on the O-D study. Figure 7A illustrates the redistribution of eastbound Churchill trips, and Figure 7B illustrates the redistribution of westbound Churchill trips in the study area.

Intersection Impacts

The TJKM study analyzed the impact of Churchill Avenue closure on the surrounding roadway network. A total of 24 intersections were analyzed. Note that the intersection of the Town & Country driveway with Embarcadero Road was not included in the study. The operation of that section of Embarcadero Road is controlled by the intersection with El Camino Real. The driveway intersection, which also serves Palo Alto High School, has relatively light traffic compared to El Camino Real.

Traffic conditions at the study intersections were evaluated using level of service (LOS). Level of service is a qualitative description of operating conditions ranging from LOS A, or free-flow conditions with little or no delay, to LOS F, or jammed conditions with excessive delays. The acceptable LOS in the City of Palo Alto is LOS D or better for non-CMP signalized intersections. The City has adopted LOS E as the acceptable standard for Congestion Management Program (CMP) intersections, consistent with VTA guidelines. The City does not have an official standard for unsignalized but typically identifies impacts if a project would increase delay by at least 4 seconds and the intersection meets the peak-hour volume signal warrant.
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Alma Street and Churchill Avenue - Conceptual Layout
Figure 5
Alma Street and Churchill Avenue - Conceptual Rendering 1
Alma Street and Churchill Avenue Closure

Figure 6
Alma Street and Churchill Avenue - Conceptual Rendering 2
Alma Street and Churchill Avenue Closure
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Figure 7A
Alma Street and Churchill Avenue Closure

Figure 7B
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The TJKM study determined that the closure of the Churchill Avenue railroad crossing would create significant impacts at eight of the study intersections. Hexagon disagrees with two of the impacts, but agrees that the following six intersections and would experience unacceptable levels of service as a result of the reassigned traffic under existing conditions and under future year 2030 traffic conditions (see Table 4):

1. Alma Street/Lincoln Avenue
2. Alma Street/Embarcadero Road
3. Alma Street/Kingsley Avenue
4. El Camino Real/Embarcadero Road (CMP)
5. El Camino Real/Oregon Expressway-Page Mill Road (CMP)
6. Alma Street/Oregon Expressway

### Table 4
**Churchill Closure – Impacted Intersection Levels of Service**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Intersection</th>
<th>Peak Hour</th>
<th>Traffic Control</th>
<th>Existing Avg. Delay (sec.)</th>
<th>LOS</th>
<th>Churchill Closure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Alma St &amp; Lincoln Ave</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>One-Way</td>
<td>&gt;=50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Existing Avg. Delay (sec.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Year 2030 Avg. Delay (sec.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Alma St &amp; Embarcadero Rd</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>One-Way</td>
<td>&gt;=50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>&gt;=50 F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stop</td>
<td>&gt;=50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>&gt;=50 F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Alma St &amp; Kingsley Ave</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>One-Way</td>
<td>&gt;=50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>&gt;=50 F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stop</td>
<td>&gt;=50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>&gt;=50 F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>El Camino Real/Embarcadero Rd*</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>Signal</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>&gt;80 F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;80 F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>El Camino Real/Oregon Expwy-Page Mill Rd*</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>Signal</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>&gt;80 F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;80 F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6A</td>
<td>Alma St &amp; Oregon Expwy WB Off Ramp (Oregon Av)</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>One-Way</td>
<td>&gt;=50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>&gt;=50 F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stop</td>
<td>&gt;=50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>&gt;=50 F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6B</td>
<td>Alma St &amp; Oregon Expwy EB Off Ramp</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>One-Way</td>
<td>&gt;=50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>&gt;=50 F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stop</td>
<td>&gt;=50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>&gt;=50 F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
1. *CMP Intersection.
2. Average delay is reported for the worst approach at one-way stop intersections.
3. Bold indicates substandard intersection level of service.

### Mitigation Measures

Potential mitigation measures were identified for the intersections that were shown to be impacted as described below.

**Alma Street Intersections (#1, 2 and 3)**

With the closure of Churchill Avenue, some traffic would be rerouted to Embarcadero Road. However, the connections for some of the turning movements between Alma Street and Embarcadero Road are circuitous. Traffic from Alma Street that wants to head west on Embarcadero Road must use Lincoln Avenue to Emerson Street. The amount of traffic going “around the block” to access Embarcadero from Alma would increase by 157 vehicles during the AM peak hour and 97 vehicles during the PM peak hour. Due to the close spacing, intersections 1, 2 and 3 could be mitigated as a group with the following recommendations (see Figures 8). These improvements are different from the mitigations identified in the TJKM report.

- Restrict the intersection of Alma Street/Lincoln Street to right-in/right-out only movements.
• Divert left-turning traffic off of Lincoln Avenue by adding a left-turn lane to the Embarcadero Road slip ramp to facilitate left-turns onto Alma Street.
• Install traffic signals at the Alma Street/Embarcadero Road slip ramp and Alma Street/Kingsley Avenue with one controller.
• Install a traffic signal at the Embarcadero Road/Kingsley Avenue intersection to allow left-turns from Kingsley Street onto westbound Embarcadero Road.
• Provide a 75 to 100-foot left-turn pocket on southbound Alma Street at Kingsley Avenue.
• Provide two northbound travel lanes on northbound Alma Street at Kingsley Avenue.

Providing two northbound travel lanes on Alma Street at Kingsley Avenue would require widening of the Alma Street bridge over Embarcadero Road, as the existing width of the bridge can only accommodate three travel lanes on Alma Street. Widening would require extensive modification or potential replacement of the existing bridge structure. No additional right-of-way is needed on Alma Street, south of Embarcadero Road.

These improvements would provide a direct connection between Alma Street and Embarcadero Road. Diverted traffic from southbound Alma Street (157 AM peak hour trips and 97 PM peak hour trips) would not have to use local streets to access Embarcadero Road. In addition, existing traffic on northbound Alma Street (approximately 70 vehicles during the AM peak hour and 75 vehicles during the PM peak hour) would no longer have to go around the block (Lincoln to Emerson) to travel west on Embarcadero. This traffic on Alma would make a right-turn at Kingsley and a left-turn at the proposed traffic signal at Embarcadero Road.

With the proposed improvements, the analysis shows that intersections 1, 2 and 3 would operate at acceptable levels of service during the AM and PM peak hours under existing (see Table 5) and Year 2030 traffic volumes (see Table 6).

Note that Figure 8 show a conceptual design of potential improvements at the Embarcadero Road and Alma Street interchange. If this project were to be pursued, many design details would need to be worked out with regard to maintaining access to existing residential driveways on Embarcadero Road, Kingsley Street, High Street, and the Embarcadero slip ramp.

El Camino Real & Embarcadero Road (Intersection 4)

The analysis showed that at the CMP intersection of El Camino Real/Embarcadero Road, significant traffic impacts would occur due to reassigned traffic. It is recommended that an additional westbound left-turn lane and a northbound right-turn lane be provided along with signal optimization at this intersection (see Figure 9). With these improvements, the intersection of El Camino Real and Embarcadero Road would operate at acceptable LOS E during both peak hours under existing conditions. Under Year 2030 traffic conditions, the analysis shows that the intersection would continue to operate at unacceptable LOS F with the proposed improvements. However, the intersection delay during both

El Camino Real & Page Mill Road/Oregon Expressway (Intersection 5)

At the CMP intersection of El Camino Real/Oregon Expressway-Page Mill Road, the traffic analysis identified significant traffic impacts due to reassigned traffic. The report recommended a westbound right-turn lane from Oregon Expressway to northbound El Camino Real along with optimizing the signal timing (see Figure 10). With these improvements, the intersection would operate at acceptable levels of service during the AM and PM peak hours under existing conditions. Under Year 2030 traffic conditions, the analysis shows that the intersection would continue to operate at unacceptable LOS F with the proposed improvements. However, the intersection delay during both
the AM and PM peak hours is projected to be lower than the intersection delay without these improvements.

**Alma Street & Oregon Expressway (Intersections 6A and 6B)**

The traffic analysis identified significant impacts to the intersections of Alma Street/Oregon Expressway with the reassignment. The analysis determined that these intersections currently meet the peak hour signal warrant and recommends traffic signals at both the on and off ramps (see Figure 11). With the proposed traffic signals at both the ramp locations, the intersections of Alma Street and Oregon Expressway are projected to operate at acceptable LOS C or better during both peak hours under existing and Year 2030 traffic conditions.

### Table 5
**Churchill Closure – Mitigated Intersection Levels of Service** under Existing Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Intersection</th>
<th>Peak Hour</th>
<th>Traffic Control</th>
<th>Avg. Delay (sec.)</th>
<th>LOS</th>
<th>Traffic Control</th>
<th>Avg. Delay (sec.)</th>
<th>LOS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Alma Street &amp; Lincoln Avenue</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>One-Way Stop</td>
<td>&gt;=50 F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>One-Way Stop</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>One-Way Stop</td>
<td>&gt;=50 F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>One-Way Stop</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Alma Street &amp; Embarcadero Road</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>One-Way Stop</td>
<td>&gt;=50 F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Signal</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>One-Way Stop</td>
<td>&gt;=50 F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Signal</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Alma Street &amp; Kingsley Avenue</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>One-Way Stop</td>
<td>&gt;=50 F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Signal</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>One-Way Stop</td>
<td>&gt;=50 F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Signal</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>El Camino Real/Embarcadero Rd*</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>Signal</td>
<td>&gt;80 F</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Signal</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>Signal</td>
<td>&gt;=80 F</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Signal</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>El Camino Real/Oregon Expwy-Page Mill Rd*</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>Signal</td>
<td>&gt;80 F</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Signal</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>Signal</td>
<td>&gt;=80 F</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>Signal</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6A</td>
<td>Alma St &amp; Oregon Expwy WB Off Ramp (Oregon Ave)</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>One-Way Stop</td>
<td>&gt;=50 F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Signal</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>One-Way Stop</td>
<td>&gt;=50 F</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Signal</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6B</td>
<td>Alma St &amp; Oregon Expwy EB Off Ramp</td>
<td>AM</td>
<td>One-Way Stop</td>
<td>&gt;=50 F</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Signal</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>One-Way Stop</td>
<td>&gt;=50 F</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Signal</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
1. Average delay is reported for the worst approach at one-way stop intersections.
2. Bold indicates substandard intersection level of service.
Table 6
Churchill Closure – Mitigated Intersection Levels of Service under Year 2030 Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Intersection</th>
<th>AM Peak Hour</th>
<th>PM Peak Hour</th>
<th>AM Peak Hour Avg. Delay</th>
<th>PM Peak Hour Avg. Delay</th>
<th>No Improvements</th>
<th>With Improvements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Traffic Control</td>
<td>Avg. Delay</td>
<td>LOS</td>
<td>Traffic Control</td>
<td>Avg. Delay</td>
<td>LOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>One-Way Stop</td>
<td>&gt;=50 F</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>One-Way Stop</td>
<td>&gt;=50 F</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>One-Way Stop</td>
<td>&gt;=50 F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>One-Way Stop</td>
<td>&gt;=50 F</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Average delay is reported for the worst approach at one-way stop intersections.
2. Bold indicates substandard intersection level of service.

Impacts to University Avenue

University Avenue is located approximately one mile north of the Alma Street and Churchill Avenue intersection. During the peak hours, University Avenue is more congested than the parallel arterials of Embarcadero Road and Oregon Expressway. Figure 12 shows that University Avenue at Woodland Avenue typically is operating at LOS F during the PM peak hour compared to LOS E on Oregon Expressway and LOS D/E on Embarcadero Road near to US101. Due to the existing congestion on University Avenue, trips from the potential Churchill closure much more likely would be rerouted to Embarcadero Road or Oregon Expressway. The potential Churchill Avenue closure is not likely to impact traffic operations along University Avenue.
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El Camino Real and Embarcadero Road Improvements
Alma Street and Churchill Avenue Closure
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Alma Street and Oregon Expressway Improvements
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Figure 12
Typical 5PM Traffic
Potential Closure of E. Meadow Drive

A qualitative analysis was conducted for the potential closure of E. Meadow Drive at the railroad tracks. It is estimated that E. Meadow Drive has a volume of approximately 1,000 vehicles crossing the tracks during the weekday AM and PM peak hours (based on a 2013 peak hour traffic count of 800-900 vehicles). With the closure of E. Meadow Drive, vehicular traffic would be diverted onto the Charleston Road railroad crossing. According to traffic studies conducted in 2013, the intersections of El Camino Real/Charleston Road and Alma Street/Charleston Road were operating at high LOS D. It is likely that operations have degraded since then. The additional traffic on Charleston Road from the proposed closure of E. Meadow Drive would cause these intersections to operate at unacceptable levels of service. Therefore, closure of the E. Meadow Drive railroad crossing is not recommended.
Appendix B
Meadow and Charleston Traffic Counts
Location: ALMA ST & W MEADOW DR AM  
Date: Tuesday, January 28, 2020  
Peak Hour: 07:45 AM - 08:45 AM  
Peak 15-Minutes: 08:00 AM - 08:15 AM

Peak Rolling Hour Flow Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Type</th>
<th>U-Turn</th>
<th>Left</th>
<th>Thru</th>
<th>Right</th>
<th>U-Turn</th>
<th>Left</th>
<th>Thru</th>
<th>Right</th>
<th>U-Turn</th>
<th>Left</th>
<th>Thru</th>
<th>Right</th>
<th>U-Turn</th>
<th>Left</th>
<th>Thru</th>
<th>Right</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>West</th>
<th>East</th>
<th>South</th>
<th>North</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Articulated Trucks</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lights</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>1,138</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>2,659</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediums</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>1,174</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>2,723</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Location: 9 ALMA ST & W CHARLESTON RD AM
Date: Tuesday, January 28, 2020
Peak Hour: 08:00 AM - 09:00 AM
Peak 15-Minutes: 08:45 AM - 09:00 AM

Peak Rolling Hour Flow Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Type</th>
<th>Articulated Trucks</th>
<th>Lights</th>
<th>Mediums</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eastbound U-Turn</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westbound U-Turn</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,109</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1,131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northbound U-Turn</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southbound U-Turn</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>517</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.
**Location:** 5 ALMA ST & W MEADOW DR PM  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 28, 2020  
**Peak Hour:** 05:00 PM - 06:00 PM  
**Peak 15-Minutes:** 05:15 PM - 05:30 PM

### Peak Hour - Motorized Vehicles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traffic Type</th>
<th>Veh Per Hour</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
<th>Veh Per Hour</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
<th>Veh Per Hour</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eastbound</td>
<td>1,393</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1,035</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>(1,957)</td>
<td>1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westbound</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.

### Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interval Start Time</th>
<th>W MEADOW DR</th>
<th>W MEADOW DR</th>
<th>ALMA ST</th>
<th>ALMA ST</th>
<th>Rolling Hour</th>
<th>Pedestrian Crossings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eastbound</td>
<td>Westbound</td>
<td>Northbound</td>
<td>Southbound</td>
<td>West</td>
<td>East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U-Turn Left</td>
<td>Thru Right</td>
<td>Left Thru Right</td>
<td>Left Thru Right</td>
<td>Left Thru Right</td>
<td>Thru Right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:00 PM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:15 PM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:30 PM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:45 PM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:00 PM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:15 PM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:30 PM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:45 PM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Peak Rolling Hour Flow Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Type</th>
<th>U-Turn Left</th>
<th>Thru Right</th>
<th>Right</th>
<th>Thru Right</th>
<th>Northbound Left Thru Right</th>
<th>Southbound Left Thru Right</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Articulated Trucks</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lights</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediums</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>U-Turn Left</th>
<th>Thru Right</th>
<th>Right</th>
<th>Thru Right</th>
<th>Northbound</th>
<th>Southbound</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>848</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interval Start Time</th>
<th>W CHARLESTON RD Eastbound</th>
<th>W CHARLESTON RD Westbound</th>
<th>ALMA ST Northbound</th>
<th>ALMA ST Southbound</th>
<th>Rolling Hour Total</th>
<th>Pedestrian Crossings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U-Turn</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Thru</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>U-Turn</td>
<td>Left</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 4:00 PM             | 0      | 11   | 55   | 36    | 0      | 11   | 59   | 14    | 0      | 11   | 286  | 7    | 716   | 59   | 14   | 9    | 716   | 2,958 | 0    | 1    | 0    | 6
| 4:15 PM             | 0      | 20   | 94   | 61    | 0      | 14   | 44   | 29    | 0      | 44   | 145  | 13   | 746   | 44   | 29   | 13   | 746   | 3,007 | 0    | 1    | 0    | 1
| 4:30 PM             | 0      | 24   | 51   | 51    | 0      | 9    | 37   | 161   | 0      | 16   | 294  | 10   | 728   | 37   | 161  | 10   | 728   | 3,109 | 0    | 0    | 0    | 3
| 4:45 PM             | 0      | 18   | 76   | 70    | 0      | 1    | 46   | 178   | 0      | 16   | 258  | 6    | 768   | 46   | 178  | 6    | 768   | 3,194 | 0    | 3    | 1    | 1
| 5:00 PM             | 0      | 18   | 56   | 56    | 2      | 9    | 42   | 176   | 8      | 14   | 277  | 6    | 765   | 42   | 176  | 6    | 765   | 3,275 | 0    | 1    | 0    | 3
| 5:15 PM             | 0      | 16   | 62   | 50    | 0      | 8    | 51   | 246   | 17     | 9    | 297  | 10   | 848   | 51   | 246  | 10   | 848   | 0    | 1    | 3    | 1
| 5:30 PM             | 0      | 25   | 60   | 62    | 0      | 13   | 85   | 177   | 11     | 12   | 234  | 17   | 813   | 85   | 177  | 17   | 813   | 0    | 0    | 1    | 0
| 5:45 PM             | 0      | 24   | 59   | 48    | 0      | 10   | 67   | 207   | 13     | 22   | 286  | 17   | 849   | 67   | 207  | 17   | 849   | 0    | 1    | 8    | 1

### Peak Rolling Hour Flow Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Type</th>
<th>Eastbound</th>
<th>Westbound</th>
<th>Northbound</th>
<th>Southbound</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U-Turn</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Thru</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>U-Turn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Articulated Trucks</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lights</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediums</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix C
Synchro Existing and Future Traffic Volumes
Note: - These exhibits are to show traffic volume only and are not accurate for the geometric design.
Note: - These exhibits are to show traffic volume only and are not accurate for the geometric design.
Meadows Drive Viaduct Existing AM Volumes

Meadows Drive Viaduct Existing PM Volumes

Note: - These exhibits are to show traffic volume only and are not accurate for the geometric design.
Meadows Drive Viaduct 2030 AM Volumes

Meadows Drive Viaduct 2030 PM Volumes

Note: - These exhibits are to show traffic volume only and are not accurate for the geometric design.
Charleston Road Viaduct Existing AM Volumes

Charleston Road Viaduct Existing PM Volumes

Note: - These exhibits are to show traffic volume only and are not accurate for the geometric design.
Charleston Road Viaduct 2030 AM Volumes

Charleston Road Viaduct 2030 PM Volumes

Note: - These exhibits are to show traffic volume only and are not accurate for the geometric design.
Note: - These exhibits are to show traffic volume only and are not accurate for the geometric design.
Meadows Drive & Alma Village Pkwy Partial Underpass 2030 PM Volumes

Note: - These exhibits are to show traffic volume only and are not accurate for the geometric design.
Charleston Rd Partial Underpass with U-Turn at Alma Village Pkwy 2030 AM Volumes

Charleston Rd Partial Underpass with U-Turn at Alma Village Pkwy 2030 PM Volumes

Note: - These exhibits are to show traffic volume only and are not accurate for the geometric design.